

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction – Starting a Journey</b>	<b>17</b>
1.1	Media and Conflict Societies . . . . .	18
1.2	Research Gap and Questions . . . . .	20
1.3	Research Case – the Israeli-Palestinian conflict . . . . .	21
1.4	Aim and Structure of the Present Investigation . . . . .	23
1.5	Central Terms . . . . .	25
<b>I</b>	<b>Theory and Case</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Theory – Ethos of Conflict in Mediatized Environments</b>	<b>35</b>
2.1	Socio-Psychological Infrastructure of Societies in Conflict . . . . .	36
2.2	The Ethos of Conflict . . . . .	40
2.3	The Struggle over Narratives . . . . .	53
2.4	Mediatization of Conflicts . . . . .	55
<b>3</b>	<b>Case – Israel and the World, the Gaza Flotilla and Israeli Media</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1	The International Community and Israel . . . . .	64
3.1.1	International Involvement . . . . .	64
3.1.2	International Media as a Battlefield over Narratives . . . . .	68
3.1.3	Israel’s Political Position . . . . .	73
3.1.4	Israeli Understanding of its Position and Image . . . . .	75
3.1.5	Israel’s Public Diplomacy . . . . .	79
3.2	The Development of the Israeli Ethos of Conflict . . . . .	84
3.3	The Israeli News Media . . . . .	94
3.4	The Gaza Flotilla Raid . . . . .	101
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusions and Consequences for this Research</b>	<b>113</b>
4.1	Conclusion – Mutual Polarization? . . . . .	113
4.2	Research Question and Hypotheses . . . . .	116
4.3	Research Design . . . . .	122

<b>II Results of the Study</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>5 The Actors Involving Themselves</b>	<b>137</b>
5.1 The Results in Short . . . . .	137
5.2 The United States – a True Friend and Partner? . . . . .	144
5.3 Europe – Connection and Alienation? . . . . .	151
5.4 The United Nations – An Ambivalent Relationship? . . . . .	156
5.5 Turkey – A Strategic Partnership Lost? . . . . .	160
5.6 The Gaza Flotilla Participants – the Direct Opponent? . . . . .	164
5.7 The World – Against Israel in Crisis? . . . . .	171
5.8 Russia and the Quartet on the Middle East – Uninvolved? . . . . .	173
5.9 The Actors and the Newspapers . . . . .	173
5.10 Conclusion . . . . .	174
<b>6 The Actors’ Involvement</b>	<b>177</b>
6.1 The Results in Short . . . . .	177
6.2 Critical Involvement – “We Strongly Condemn...” . . . . .	185
6.3 Calling for Action – “Israel has to ... Immediately” . . . . .	188
6.4 Supporting Involvement – “We Support Israel’s Right to...” . . . . .	191
6.5 Speechless Involvement – “...” . . . . .	193
6.6 Conclusion . . . . .	195
<b>7 The Representation of Involvement and Actors</b>	<b>199</b>
7.1 The Results in Short . . . . .	200
7.2 Defense of one’s own Justness . . . . .	204
7.3 Friend or Foe . . . . .	207
7.4 Personalization versus Depersonalization . . . . .	216
7.5 Law and Order Versus Chaos . . . . .	217
7.6 Simplification Versus Complexity . . . . .	218
7.7 The Representations in the four Analyzed Newspapers . . . . .	220
7.8 Conclusion . . . . .	222
<b>8 Discussion – Ending a Journey</b>	<b>225</b>
8.1 The Major Findings . . . . .	226
8.2 The Limitations . . . . .	236
8.3 The Contributions of this Investigation . . . . .	237
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>243</b>
<b>Appendix A Tables</b>	<b>263</b>
<b>Appendix B Abstract</b>	<b>265</b>

<b>Appendix C The Codebook</b>	<b>269</b>
<b>Appendix D Gaza Crisis 2014</b>	<b>279</b>